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Introduction

Conventional theories of fertility transition such as demographic transition theory and demand theory give little, if any, attention to the role of women as agents in fertility decision-making. These theories are based primarily upon macro-analysis in which correlations are drawn between particular macro-trends and aggregate fertility rates. In the historical study of fertility transition in the West, many different macro-trends have been utilized including urbanization, industrialization, changes in wage rates and economic wellbeing (e.g., the growth of the middle class), occupational change, changing opportunity structures through social mobility, increases in education of fathers and/or mothers, compulsory education of children, changes in family structure (extended to nuclear) and secularization (refs).

At the commencement of the Western fertility transition, almost all if not all societies were patriarchal in orientation with men, especially older men, controlling the nature of the important social institutions associated with having children including government, religion, the law, industry and the family. By inference, the Western fertility transition has been seen as being necessarily a result of men relaxing their control over the aspects of these institutions that impinged upon childbearing; that it was the mainly-economic motivations of men that were important with women being the passive beneficiaries rather than active agents of change. Where reference was made to the means of fertility control, male-controlled methods especially withdrawal, better fitted a male-led, theoretical perspective and evidence is purported to support this conclusion. The argument was made that standard ‘female’ methods were too expensive for widespread use and that records showed that sales of these contraceptives were low. The inference was then made that an inexpensive male method, withdrawal, must have been the main method and this was a method that was in the control of men (Szreter 1996; ).

In this paper, we use historical records and survey results to argue that, at least in the case of Australia, women have been not only active agents but also the principal agents in fertility decision-making from the late 19th century onwards. We are, of course, not the first to argue that the role of women has been understated in the literature on the fertility transition. For example, this argument was made strongly by Nancy Folbre in 1983 when she said that the failure of the then conventional theories of the historical fertility transition to incorporate the agency of women was ‘a fatal error of omission (Folbre 1983: 267). The idea of women being the principal agents in fertility decision-making is also implicit in contemporary gender equity theory (McDonald 2000). For Australia, the argument that women were central agents in the historical fertility transition has been made by Cook (2000), Mackinnon and Anderson (2015) and Moyle (2015). We build on these and other earlier works by placing the argument within Giddens’ (1984) theory of structuration and by applying the theory to a very long timeframe.

Australia provides an interesting case study because the evidence for the role of women as agents of change using ‘female’ methods of contraception as well as abortion is more overt in the historical literature than it is in most other western transitions. In particular, prior to 1950, a series of government enquiries conducted between 1903 and 1944 provides first-hand evidence of fertility
control practices in Australia. In the post-Second World War period, social survey evidence can be used to continue the stream of evidence. There are arguments that we shall put that Australia may have been a special case, however, we also present limited evidence from other countries that tends to support the use of ‘female’ methods of contraception during the historical fertility transition.

**Fertility transition and Giddens’ theory of structuration**

Inevitably, observed trends in the fertility rate of a society are the accumulation of the agencies of individual men and women in having or preventing births but quantitative measures of agency (individual motivations and the capacity to act upon motivations) are rarely available, particularly in the historical context. In large part, this is the reason that individual agency has tended to be ignored. Diffusion theory which addresses the ways in which ideas about fertility control were transferred across a society necessarily incorporates individual actors. However, while diffusion of ideas is a necessary part of the explanation of the historical fertility transition, diffusion can only be effective when the recipients of information are motivated by the circumstances in which they live and are able to act upon those motivations. Information, motivation and the capacity to act are the three conditions for fertility decline specified by Coale (1973). These conditions necessarily incorporate interaction between the micro- (individual) and the macro- (societal) levels. Giddens’ Theory of Structuration provides a useful theoretical approach for the consideration of this interaction. However, Giddens also emphasises the significance of historical time and place and also the life histories/experiences of the individual actor. This justifies the use of historical method and the focus on place that is taken in this paper.

Giddens defines agency as follows:

> Agency concerns events of which an individual is a perpetrator, in the sense that the individual could, at any phase in a given sequence of conduct, have acted differently. Whatever happened would not have happened if that individual had not intervened (Giddens 1984: 9).

He says that agency can be intended or unintended and that the consequences can be intended or unintended. The use of a contraceptive device by the person who is the agent in its use is clearly an intended action and therefore, except in rare circumstances, has motivation. The immediate intention of an individual woman in using a contraceptive device is to avoid pregnancy. If the method is used effectively, the intention is realised. If the method fails and she becomes pregnant then this is an unintended consequence – but an unintended consequence that is no different from if she had not exercised agency. Some methods of contraception and abortion may have unintended health consequences. However, if the society’s birth rate falls, there is evidence that methods have been adopted and intentions realised simultaneously by large numbers of individuals. At the same time, it was not the intention of the individual actors to reduce the society’s birth rate; this was an unintended consequence of the simultaneous individual actions of many agents. This unintended consequence illustrates the interaction of the micro and the macro levels. An important component of Giddens’ theory is that agency implies power or having sufficient autonomy to act in the way that the agent acts. Theory then must address the source of this power or autonomy. This is the essence of structuration theory.
The essence of patriarchy is that power lies with men and that women are the passive recipients of the consequences of the decisions of men. Thus, implicit in our argument that women were the principal agents of fertility decision-making is the proposition that patriarchy has been modified to such an extent that women have this power or autonomy. Four concepts are important in Giddens’ theory: ‘structure’, ‘system’, ‘institutions’ and ‘the duality of structure’. Structure refers to the patterning of social relations or social phenomena. Structure (patriarchy in our argument) consists of a set of rules and resources that defines the systems (the gender system) that shape the nature of social institutions (marriage and the family, the labour market, education, etc.). The structure is reinforced when agents act according to the rules (all important decisions are made by men). This reinforcement is the ‘duality of structure’. In Giddens’ terms, people make society but at the same time are constrained by it.

When social change occurs, its explanation must be sought at both the macro-level (institutions) and at the micro-level (agents) and the interaction between the two. If women become the principal agents of fertility-decision making in a system of institutions until recently characterised by patriarchy, social change has occurred. We must then trace this change through changes in the behaviour of individuals and changes in the nature of social institutions as well as the interaction of the two levels.

At the political level, the forces of conservatism will strive to preserve and protect existing structure and the long-standing nature of institutions. Social change occurs when conservatives lose the battle. Individuals acting alone are unlikely to produce this result; some form of political agency is implied however covert or diffuse it may be. In this paper, we argue that there are historical periods of time when conservatives lose many battles simultaneously and social change is rampant across a wide range of structures and institutions. We argue that, in the context of the agency of women, both first (1880s) and second (1970s) wave feminism sit within such periods.

Three periods of change in Australia

Using this theoretical approach, the paper examines women as agents in fertility decision-making across three periods of Australian history. The three periods have quite different cross-sectional social and economic explanations of fertility but, in all three periods, we argue that the agency of women was a fundamental component of change at the individual level. The first is the period of initial fertility decline between 1870 and 1920 when fertility fell from an average of over six children per woman to under four children per woman. The second covers the 1930s through the 1960s. In this period, fertility initially fell to replacement level in the early 1930s but then rose to 3.3 births per woman at the height of the baby-boom in the early 1960s. Finally, we examine women’s agency in the years from 1970 onwards. In the 1970s, fertility fell sharply from its baby boom high to around two children per woman or a little lower, a level that has since been sustained for around 40 years.

The period, 1870-1920

The historical fertility transition

Australia began its history of European settlement as a group of colonies established for the rehabilitation of convicted felons from Britain who worked primarily for a relatively small number of pastoralists who took up (squatted upon) land in the various colonies. Through the 60 or more years
that transportation of convicts was in place, six men arrived for every woman. By the late 1830s, the number of convicts was inadequate for the labour demand and government-sponsored schemes were set up to bring agricultural labourers and tradesmen to Australia in family groups. In addition, from the 1830s into the 1850s, boat loads of single women were brought from Britain, primarily from Ireland, to serve as domestic servants but overtly or covertly to balance the sexes in the colonies (McDonald 1974). With the exception of the pastoralists, the settlers came from poor backgrounds and Australia offered them opportunities for advancement. The exception to this rule was the colony of South Australia to which no convicts were sent and which was established from its beginnings in the 1830s through settlement of family groups.

In the years to 1850, the large numbers of single male pastoral workers including convicts provided Australia with the image of a society that was heavily male-oriented, the stuff of Russel Ward’s *The Australian Legend* (Ward 1966). In the latter part of the 19th century, this image of Australia obtained further credence through the works of impressionist artists such Tom Roberts, Frederick McCubbin and Arthur Streeton and writers such as Henry Lawson and A. B. Paterson, all of whom were themselves living a bohemian life style in Melbourne or Sydney. Lawson’s mother, Louisa Lawson, in the 1880s, was an early campaigner for women’s suffrage and she advocated for divorce equality for women and for a union of married women. She employed female printers in her publishing firm and successfully fought off a campaign by male unionists to force her to dismiss her female printers. Criticised by the male editor of the magazine *The Bulletin*’s Red Page, she responded “and why shouldn’t a woman be tall and strong” (Radi 1986). We argue that the male-oriented image of colonial Australia while true in some respects concealed an underlying reality of strong and determined women. Louisa Lawson was truly exceptional but she was no exception.

No event changed the course of history in Australia more than the discovery of gold in the colony of Victoria in the early 1850s. People rushed into Victoria from all over the world including from the previous gold rush in California. The previous convict heritage was swamped. The population of the colony of Victoria increased from 77,000 in 1851 to 237,000 in 1854 and 539,000 in 1861. The city of Melbourne was transformed from a small town in 1851 to a thriving metropolis by 1861 and, by 1881, built on wealth from gold, to one of the grandest and wealthiest cities in the world (Davison 1978). Many of the new arrivals were educated and cosmopolitan. This event corresponded with the granting from the 1850s of self-government to the various Australian colonies by the British Government.

Between 1856 and 1880, there were 1.47 million births registered in Australia (McDonald et al. 1987). Allowing for about 6 per cent under-registration of births (Kippen 2002) and applying a survival ratio of 0.79 (West Level 15), we would expect around 1.23 million Australian-born children to have been aged 0-24 years at the 1881 round of colonial censuses. The total population of persons aged 0-24 years at the 1881 Census was 1.34 million. From these estimates, it is very safe to conclude that over 90 per cent of persons aged 0-24 years in Australia in 1881 were Australian-born. This was the first large-scale, Australian-born generation. With the advent of compulsory education from around 1870 in the Australian colonies, almost all of these children went to school, including the girls. The Victorian *Education Act 1872* mandated attendance at school for children between the ages of 6 and 15. By 1881 in Victoria, 98 per cent of 20-24 year-olds could read and write, 50 per cent of all children at school were girls and 58 percent of all teachers were women (Census of Victoria 1881). Many of the women who later led the movement for female suffrage in Australia had
begun their working careers as school teachers. Thus not only did girls have female role models in their teachers but boys also saw that women could be competent professional members of the workforce and leaders in the community.

While elites who were aged 25 years and older in 1881, including both Australia-born and British born persons, may have practised family planning during the 1870s (Moyle 2015), these groups were relatively small and their behaviour would have had little effect in the aggregate. The fertility transition in Australia, as shown in Figure 1 took off from the cohort born from 1857-61 and was sustained by subsequent birth cohorts. Prior to this cohort, among the cohorts of women who married at young ages during the years of sex imbalance (McDonald 1974), completed fertility was exceptionally high (Ruzicka and Caldwell 1977, Moyle 2015). Therefore, while others have emphasised the role of immigrants in Australia’s historical fertility transition (Ruzicka and Caldwell 1977, Anderson and MacKinnon 2015), the transition in Australia was very evidently the outcome of the behaviour of this first large generation of universally-educated, Australian-born women and men.
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**Number of children ever born, ever married women by year of birth, Australia, 1921 Census**

*Source: Table A1.2*

**Women and the nature of institutions in late 19th and early 20th century Australia**

The institutions of power in late 19th century Australia, the governments, the government bureaucracies, the churches and the legal profession were totally male-dominated. Women did not have the right to vote nor did they hold any positions of power in these organisations. From this perspective, colonial society was patriarchal. Men made and administered the rules and other men and all women followed them. Ruling men tended to be very British in their orientation although the
majority view was that the colonies outshone the home country in economic and social progress (Coghlan, 1887). In colonial legislation, conservatism prevailed because, ultimately, colonial legislation was subject to the conservatism of the British House of Lords.

A relevant example of this is law relating to divorce. In 1857, the British Parliament passed a divorce law and all the Australian colonies were advised by the Colonial Office to pass a similar law, which they did. However, the English law did not treat wives and husbands equally in that adultery or desertion on the part of the husband were not a sufficient reason for divorce although they were on the part of the wife. From the beginning, there was a great deal of opposition to this inequality over many years, including among leading legislators in Australia. All attempts over the next 40 years to widen the grounds of divorce and create equality for men and women were disallowed by the British Government on the grounds that it was improper for the colonies to run ahead of the home country in their legislation (Finlay 1999). While power prevailed to institutionalise patriarchy, the level of opposition expressed through the pages of the colonial newspapers in editorials and letters from both men and women was indicative of a sentiment in the colonies that viewed women more highly than was the case in the home country. Perhaps the destitution of women and children deserted by the husband and father was more offensive to the colonial leaders because their aim was to create a society that was just, wealthy and prosperous and not based on hereditary privilege.

Nevertheless conservatism and patriarchy remained evident in many aspects of government. For example, in the mid-1880s meeting of the colonial statisticians, they expressed their concern about the number of women who at censuses up to 1881 had been classified as engaged in farming creating an impression that ‘women were in the habit of working in the field’. They then issued instructions for the conduct of the 1891 round of censuses that women in such circumstances should be classified as ‘domestic duties’ (General Report of the Census of Victoria 1891: 192).

Ironically, the statisticians took this action around the same time as the first female trade union was created in Australia (The Tailoresses Association of Melbourne formed in 1882) which called a strike in February 1983. The strike was supported by the major Melbourne daily newspaper, The Age and was even supported by the company from which the workers had struck so long as other tailoring companies agreed to the same conditions (O’Lincoln 2005). The Victorian Lady Teachers’ Association was established in 1885 and was Australia’s first permanent teachers’ union (Spaull 1984, 166). The three Weekes sisters who were leaders of this association campaigned for women’s suffrage, equal pay and equal promotion opportunities for men and women. This direct action by women in the labour force is evidence of considerable agency on the part of women in shaping their world. The six Australian colonies federated to become the independent nation of Australia in 1901. Full female suffrage was introduced in the new nation almost immediately, in 1902. Australia thus became the first sovereign nation in the world to grant the vote to women and long before the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom.¹ The struggle for female suffrage was nowhere near as difficult as it was in these other English-speaking countries. This supports an argument that women’s agency was more advanced in Australia than it was in these other countries.

¹ Female suffrage applied in New Zealand from 1894 but it did not become a sovereign nation independent of Britain until 1907. Women’s suffrage already existed in two of the Australian colonies, South Australia and Western Australia, prior to the Federation of Australia.
There is debate about how important working women were in Australia's early adoption of full women’s suffrage (O'Lincoln 2005) as opposed to middle and upper class women who argued that female suffrage would be a civilising force, in that, in the words of the leading Melbourne feminist, Vida Goldstein, ‘the stability of marriage and the home depend upon having an equal standard for men and women’ (cited in Searle 1988, 22). In 1891, Goldstein at the age of 21 assisted in the collection of 30,000 signatures in support of female suffrage in just six weeks, the largest petition that had ever been presented to the Victorian Parliament. In a much later interview, she said of the petition: 'Very rarely were refusals made by wives of working men and by women who took part in social reform work outside the home' (Argus, 28 October 1937, cited in Wright 2014).

The Australian suffrage movement was not heavily formalised in organisational terms; the main organisational support came from the Women’s Christian Temperance Union, a conservative organisation but still an organisation run by women with agency. The involvement of people and organisations that were both progressive and conservative and the activism of women from both sides probably explains why female suffrage was obtained so early and so easily in Australia. In contrast, full female suffrage was achieved in the United Kingdom 26 years later than in Australia and involved marches, civil disobedience, police harassment and surveillance, arrests, hunger strikes and a death. The achievement of female suffrage in the United Kingdom has been portrayed as a battle of the sexes, men against women (Kent 2014). The fact that it was not like this in Australia suggests that women’s agency was given more credence by the established institutions despite their inherent patriarchal nature. Our interpretation of this is that the first large generation of Australians, both men and women, were educated, relatively secular in orientation, open to the opportunities that the society provided for social mobility and not constrained by the rigid, age-old structures of patriarchy with which suffragettes in the United Kingdom had to contend.

This was also a time when many new occupations emerged that provided opportunities for the new, educated Australian-born generation. There was rapid growth in such occupations as ‘accountant’, ‘bank clerk’, ‘insurance clerk’, ‘school teacher’ and ‘railway porter’ (Moyle 2015). The proportion of jobs that were rural declined. Mining beyond gold mining also took off in this period with the mines being run by major companies. The miners were men who had worked at other jobs in other places and the younger ones were educated. They were quite unlike to miners in British towns who had been miners all their lives and lived in the same place all their lives. Moyle (2015) found that, in Tasmania, there was no significant difference in the fertility rates of miners’ wives and the wives of white collar workers or tradesmen.Between 1861 and 1901, 77 per cent of population growth in the colonies of New South Wales, Victoria and Queensland was in urban areas (Burnley 1980, Table 3.4). In the 1880s, suburbanisation took off in the major cities supported by new transport networks of rail and tram lines. In the suburbs, a construction boom led to the building of worker’s cottages and finance was available that put purchase of these houses within the reach of the more successful workers (Davison 1978). Effectively, the middle class, suburban lifestyle was open to a wide range of families and many aspired to achieve it. Early marriage and a large number of children were obstacles to its achievement (McDonald 1974).

Many hopes and dreams developed in the 1880s were shattered by the financial crash of 1890 which gave rise to a severe, long-running economic depression. By the time the economy came out of depression, the country was hit from 1902 by a drought that had major impacts upon commodity exports. Thus, high economic aspirations were generated and often achieved during the 1880s
among the first large Australian-born generation but the capacity to achieve these outcomes was much reduced in the period from 1890 to 2010. A large gulf between high economic aspirations and the reality of poor outcomes is theorised as being a major stimulus to control of fertility (Casterline 2001, Abbasi-Shavazi et al. 2009) and this was almost certainly the case in Australia in this period.

Moyle (2015) describes many other changes in the daily lives of people that are relevant to our argument. From the 1870s, the telegraph enabled the publication in the Australian press of reports in British newspapers within days of their publication in Britain. Pertinent here are reports of the Bradlaugh-Besant trial. Advances in transport, trains, trams and even bicycles, made it easier for people to travel and 19th century diaries indicate that upper class women moved very freely around the colony and travelled further afield to the large cities and even to Britain unaccompanied by husbands. Even working class women were reported to have been in contact with their relatives living in other places and to have travelled to see them. Moyle also refers to the extensive social and cultural life of the upper class in the Australian cities and to the secular nature of Australian society at the time.

The rise of secularism also assisted women to free themselves from the strictures of the moral codes of patriarchy enshrined in the Christian religion. Historians generally agree that in the early decades of the colony, the majority of the Australian population did not have a strong religious affiliation (Boyce 2010; Breward 1988, 1993; Reynolds 2012; Robson and Roe 1997). Religion was generally not as important to people in Australia as in Britain or the United States (Breward 1988). By the 1850s and 1860s, religious groups in Australia did not have a strong influence on people’s political and social attitudes and values. The Anglicans like other religious groups reflected ‘the political and social realities of its community rather than shaping the community’ (Breward 1993: 45).

At all social levels, women were the household managers and, as such, they were acutely aware of the additional costs that an extra child entailed.

**Family planning, 1880 to 1910**

The discussion in the previous paragraph has described the macro- and institutional changes that took place in Australia during the years of the historical fertility transition. It also documented the importance of the agency of women in institutional changes. This section deals with the most important part of our argument, the agency of women in the use of contraception and abortion as means of fertility control.

Much of the information in support of women’s agency in the use of contraception comes from witness statements and other published material from the 1903 NSW Royal Commission into the Decline of the Birth Rate (NSW 1904a, 1904b). The Royal Commission was set up in 1903 to ‘make a diligent and full Inquiry into the Causes which have contributed to the Decline in the Birth-rate of NSW and the Effects of the Restriction of Child-bearing upon the well-being of the community’ (NSW 1904a: 1). The Commissioners quickly came to the conclusion that the decline in the birth rate was due to deliberate efforts by parents to restrict their fertility with the lead role being taken by wives.

Although they could not be specific about timing, many witnesses to the NSW 1903 Royal Commission testified that the purchase and use of artificial methods of contraception had greatly increased in the preceding 20 years, as had the practice of ‘withdrawal’ and abortion. Other
evidence shows that in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, wholesale drug companies imported French letters (condoms), the India rubber ‘Pessaire Preventif’, Rendell’s soluble pessaries and safety sponges into NSW (NSW 1904b: 13,15). Some wholesale druggists and pharmacists also made their own soluble pessaries (NSW 1904b: 15, 20). Enemas, douches and syringes sold by pharmacist were also used for contraceptive purposes.

Witnesses to the Royal Commission agreed that almost all pharmacists in Sydney stocked contraceptive devices, but this was not the case in the country. Some Sydney drug companies and pharmacists sold preventives to country people by mail (NSW 1904b: 21, 44).

The demand for female preventives was so high that in the late 1890s, Washington Soul, the largest pharmacy in Sydney, established a ‘nurse’ in a kiosk in its city store solely for the purpose of selling articles to women. Nurse B. told the Commissioners: ‘My particular duties are to attend to ladies with articles that are kept in my room … I have sold women rubber pessaries or medicated pessaries and safety sponges’ (NSW 1904b: 57). Most artificial contraceptives were relatively expensive in relation to the average wages of working-class and even some middle-class men, however, many witnesses told the Royal Commission that it was common for women to use less expensive methods of prevention or to make their own preventives.

Several witnesses testified that the use of syringes, douches and enemas was common practice. William Sharland, representative of the Parke Davis Drug Company, said ‘I think that the latter are the growing class of preventives—that is the douching of the canal with enemas and douches with antiseptic solutions (NSW 1904b: 24). J.A. Masterton, a pharmacist in Market St, Sydney, said ‘Others will not go to the expense of anything; they simply use their syringe with an astringent lotion straight away, and that answers the purpose just the same’ (NSW 1904b: 30). Dr. Robert Scot-Skirving, a physician and surgeon at two major Sydney hospitals, reported that ‘In the bush, and among the lower classes generally, the preventive as a rule, is syringing immediately after connection either with hot or cold water’ (NSW 1904b: 101).

Many witnesses told the Commissioners that women made their own soluble pessaries. J.A. Masterton said ‘Referring to these soluble pessaries, they are made of cocoa butter, which is the vehicle to carry the quinine that is in them, and is the sterilising agent. Now a great number of people buy cocoa butter by itself, and they buy quinine by itself’ (NSW 1904b: 30). George Stevens, a pharmacist in a working class inner city area of Sydney reported ‘They come and buy 3d worth of cocoa butter and some quinine and they mix it up themselves’ (NSW 1904b: 43). Dr. John Harris, who had been a general practitioner in Newcastle, NSW for 30 years said ‘There are a larger percentage of women now who know how to prepare their own pessaries. They use quinine and sulphate of zinc and make them up with cocoa butter themselves. It is common for women to make their own pessaries and introduce them into the vagina and leave them there’ (NSW 1904b: 125).

Several witnesses testified that it was common for women to use sponges for preventive purposes, with many women making their own contraceptive sponges. Sir James Graham who was an Honorary Surgeon to two major Sydney hospitals said ‘One frequently finds, in the ordinary outdoor clinic² of a woman’s hospital, evidence of sponges and the like’ (NSW 1904b: 114). J.A. Masterton

² An ‘outdoor clinic’ was an outpatients clinic at a public hospital for poor and working class women
reported that ‘There are sponges used – small sponges. Now these sponges are designed for the same thing. Well, a great number of people will not go the trouble of buying a sponge. They buy the ordinary toilet sponge and cut it into pieces and they tie a tape to them, and they use them themselves’ (NSW 1904b: 30).

Several doctors gave evidence to the Royal Commission that ‘withdrawal’ was used to prevent conception. Dr. Scot-Skirving said, ‘I think that withdrawal is practised to a considerable extent’ (NSW 1904b: 101). Dr. William McKay, Medical Officer at a suburban Sydney hospital told the Commissioners that ‘The main method is the withdrawal of the male organ before the act is completed’ (NSW 1904b:105). Dr Worrall, Senior Visiting Surgeon to the Sydney Women’s Hospital also thought that withdrawal was very common (NSW 1904b: 88).

Some scholars have used this testimony to conclude that withdrawal was the most common method used to prevent conception during the Australian historical fertility decline (Bongiorno 2012; Pringle 1973; Quiggin 1988), but evidence from other witnesses to the NSW Royal Commission, as outlined above, does not support this view. Additionally, when McKay’s statement is examined in more detail it appears that he is primarily talking about the use of withdrawal by the middle classes.

‘Prevention is more common in the middle classes. I mean men earning say £2 a week in offices … it is not so common among the working classes, because the man will not submit to it. The woman might be quite willing, but the man will not submit’ (NSW 1904b: 105).

Overall, witnesses to the Royal Commission indicated that female-controlled preventives, that is, soluble pessaries, syringes, douches, enemas and sponges, were commonly used by women of all classes to prevent pregnancy. Soluble pessaries were more popular than French letters which were used to prevent disease as well as conception (NSW 1904b:16, 29). Most pharmacists reported that the sale of pessaries had overtaken that of French letters in recent years. George Stevens said ‘There is not much sale for the French letters since the pessaries have come into vogue’ (NSW 1904b: 43).

Abortion was another method used to limit family size in Australia in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, despite being a criminal offence. Until Federation in 1901, the Australian colonies were subject to the UK Offences Against the Person Act of 1861, which made abortion illegal under any circumstances. After Federation, abortion remained a criminal offence under different State legislation.

Most witnesses to the NSW Royal Commission attributed the decline in the birth rate to both prevention and abortion, with many saying that prevention was more commonly used by the middle class to limit family size and abortion by the working class (NSW 1904b). Almost all the witnesses—doctors, pharmacists, clergymen, police officers and others—reported that induced abortion was a common practice among both married and unmarried women. Most pharmacists reported a demand for pills which were used by women to try to procure abortions, such as ‘Towle’s Pennyroyal and Steel Pills’ and ‘Dr Boxwell’s Silent Pills’ (NSW 1904b: 357). Abortifacient pills were sold throughout Sydney and in all the country areas (NSW 1904b:28). They were also available by mail through advertisements in the newspapers (NSW 1904b: 30, see below).

Witnesses to the Royal Commission reported that there were many abortionists operating in Sydney and some in country areas. These were mainly nurses (qualified or unqualified) and a very small number of doctors in Sydney, and unqualified midwives in the country areas. James Sawtell, Senior
Sergeant of Police in Sydney, thought that the procuring of abortions was very prevalent in Sydney: ‘I know of 36–38 reputed abortionists, mostly women in my own district. I also know of five legally qualified medical men’ (NSW 1904b: 52). Dr Joseph Foreman, senior gynaecological surgeon to the Prince Alfred Hospital said ‘The prevalence of abortion is almost incredible. The cases that are always coming in and taking up the beds in the hospitals are quite sufficient to show to what extent it prevails’ (NSW 1904b: 229). Most of the witnesses agreed that women of all classes used abortion to limit their families, but thought that the practice was more common among the working class. Arthur Glover, a general practitioner in a poor working class district of Sydney told the Commissioners of the desperation of some of his married women patients: ‘They are aware that abortion is a criminal act….and several of them, if you tell them how dangerous it is, say they will die before they will have another child’ (NSW 1904b: 110). Many witnesses reported that it was common for country women to come down to Sydney to procure an abortion.

McCalman’s (1988) study of female patients at a large public hospital in Melbourne shows that abortion was common in Melbourne in the late 19th century. In Adelaide also, a number of cases of abortion by a well-known abortionist, Madame Harper, were reported in the local newspapers in the late 19th and early 20th centuries (Anderson and McKinnon 2015).

None of the evidence to the Commission mentioned the use of abstinence as a preventive measure.

**Diffusion of knowledge about fertility control**

There is considerable evidence to support diffusion theory as one of the explanations for the historical fertility decline in Australia. Ideas and values about fertility control as well as the knowledge of the methods used to limit fertility clearly spread through Australian society in the late 19th and early 20th centuries.

From the late 1880s, Mrs Brettena Smyth, a widow who ran her own pharmacy, gave frequent women-only lectures in the North Melbourne Town Hall that were attended by hundreds of women at a time. Her lectures covered aspects of reproductive health including the use of contraceptive devices. The lectures were freely advertised and reported upon in the leading Melbourne newspapers. Interestingly also, her lectures usually began at 8.00 pm indicating that women were free to move around the city late at night to attend such events. Mrs Smyth particularly promoted the Pessaire Preventif which she described as the only females method that could be used without the knowledge of the husband.

The 1903 NSW Royal Commissioners considered that the spread of values regarding fertility limitation and information about fertility control methods was one of the main reasons for the fall in the birth rate from the mid-1880s (NSW 1904a). They reported that in the last quarter of the 19th century values about fertility control had spread throughout the ‘civilised world’ and there was a ‘general diffusion of the knowledge of methods by which restriction might be accomplished which was previously wanting’ (NSW 1904a: 17).

Birth control literature from overseas became available in Australia from about the 1880s onwards. Books and pamphlets about birth control such as ‘The Fruits of Philosophy’ by Charles Knowlton and ‘The Law of Population’ by Annie Besant were available in Sydney and Melbourne bookshops and lectures on ‘Family Limitation’ were given in Melbourne and Sydney (Bongiorno 2012; Quiggin 1988;
NSW 1904b). Many witnesses to the NSW Royal Commission mentioned ‘The Fruits of Philosophy’ and several of them had read it. The Commission also heard evidence that pamphlets advertising preventives were in circulation in Sydney. James Mitchell, Sub-Inspector of the Police, said of a handbill advertising the French ‘Pessaire Preventif’, ‘Many complaints have been received from citizens that handbills of this character have been left at their houses with their female relatives. They are left door to door and we have had complaints of their being sent to people by post or other means’ (NSW 1903b: 51). It is not clear whether these books and pamphlets were available to the same extent in the country as in the city. The Reverend John Howell Price, a Church of England clergyman from Richmond, a country area outside Sydney, said that ‘Bradlaugh’s books and Mrs Besant’s books and other books which are freely obtainable in Sydney are largely read in country places’ but thought that leaflets and pamphlets were not generally distributed in country areas (NSW 1904b: 214).

Advertisements in newspapers and journals were a major source of information about fertility control in late 19th and early 20th century Australia (Bongiorno 2012; Quiggin 1988). The 1903 NSW Royal Commission found that the newspapers in metropolitan, suburban and country NSW regularly contained advertisements for books and pamphlets providing information about methods of prevention and for the sale of preventives and abortifacients (NSW 1904: 30, 39, 50, 87, 95, 271–2). Dr. Edward Thring, gynaecologist at a large Sydney hospital, thought that ‘The general public are familiarised very much more now than they used to be with the methods by which prevention of pregnancy can be made to take place…and I think that one reason for that is the free advertising – I mean to say, the extensive advertising – the various preventive methods which has taken place during the last, say, 20 years – the knowledge that there are various mechanical means which can be obtained by purchase for the prevention of impregnation’ (NSW 1904b: 93).

Police officers appearing before the NSW Royal Commission reported that advertisements from well-known abortionists regularly appeared in the newspapers (NSW 1904: 51–2,183). However, these advertisements were written in such a general way that it was difficult to detect their true purpose:

‘Nurse P. attends ladies during accouchement. Registered lying in home, 550 Cleveland St. Moore Park’ (Sydney Morning Herald, 24 October 1902 cited in NSW 1904b: 186)

Similar advertisements for ‘lying in homes’ appeared in the Tasmanian newspapers in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, and it is likely that some of these establishments were also used to procure abortions.

In the last decades of the 19th century, a number of prominent people were tried for obscenity in England and Sydney because they were publishing and/or distributing information about methods of birth control (Bongiorno 2012; Hacker and Kippen 2007, Caldwell 1999). The Bradlaugh-Besant trial, which Caldwell argues was a catalyst for the adoption of birth control (see Chapter 1), was reported in all the Australian newspapers, including The Mercury and The Examiner. The Mercury reported on 13 August 1877:

‘The trial of Mr Bradlaugh and Mrs Besant, before the Lord Chief Justice and a special jury, lasted five days and in their verdict the jury found the defendants guilty of publishing a work calculated to debase public morals, but exonerated them from
all corrupt motives. A new trial will be applied for, and Mr Bradlaugh intends to carry the case to the House of Lords’

The book ‘The Fruits of Philosophy’ was again referred to in an article in The Mercury on 25 August 1877 reporting proceedings in the British House of Commons, quoted verbatim from the London Times. Another article in The Mercury of 26 February 1878 compared an obscene pamphlet published in Melbourne to:

‘that notorious work “Fruits of Philosophy” published by Mr Bradlaugh and Mrs Besant, and for which they were deservedly convicted and sentenced to be fined and confined, though the conviction has since been upset in the Supreme Court’

Collins booksellers were tried for obscenity in Sydney in 1888 for selling Annie Besant’s book ‘The Law of Population’, but Judge Windeyer ruled that the book was not obscene and that Collins had a right to sell it. This judgement was reported in newspapers in all the Australian colonies, including Tasmania:

Many witnesses told the Commissioners that information about prevention was spread by word of mouth among women. Women discussed ideas and values about prevention with other women and gave them information as to how to limit their births. The Reverend Howell Price said ‘One of the most intelligent ladies that we have in the district is a very keen advocate of prevention, alleging various reasons why prevention should be practised....This information is communicated to the unmarried and to married persons’ (NSW 1904b: 214). George Stephens, a pharmacist reported ‘There is a peculiar thing about women; they will tell one another and they simply come along and ask for them....(quinine pessaries)....they spread the information amongst other women...Knowledge travels from one woman to another in the country’ (NSW 1904b: 44). One of the few female witnesses, Witness E, a woman aged 47 who had borne 16 children, said ‘Ever since my early married life, some of my friends have spoken to me about prevention. They have advocated it...People seem to be well acquainted with the methods of preventing impregnation. I have been spoken to myself by different people about all sorts of ways. They discuss the different methods among themselves openly among women of every class’ (NSW 1904b: 189). Dr Joseph Foreman added ‘They acquire the knowledge from the propagandists amongst themselves... there is one woman ... who goes about telling other women; at all the tea meetings, at all the drawing rooms, it is the subject of conversation. There is not a woman scarcely who comes to me who does not know what to do to prevent conception’ (NSW 1904b: 228). The Rev. Nicholas Hennessy, a Congregationalist clergyman, thought that ‘Women themselves have helped to spread the evil....they are very free (those of them who have either one child or very small families) to tell a woman who has many children the means by which she can prevent the birth of more’ (NSW 1904b: 207).

Summary of 1870-1920 period

All the evidence points to considerable agency on the part of women in the spread of control over fertility in the historical fertility transition in Australia. The Royal Commission concluded that women had played a crucial role in the 19th century fertility decline in New South Wales. They viewed women’s ‘selfishness’ in adopting birth control practices as primarily responsible for the fall of the birth rate in that colony since the 1880s (NSW 1904a: 17). Despite the Commissioners’ vehement opposition to prevention and to the changes in women’s behaviour, attitudes and lives, the
Commission made very few recommendations to remedy ‘the various evils which are indicated by the evidence as the causes of the decline in the birth-rate’ (NSW 1904a: 2). While they recommended changes to the sale of abortifacients and to the registration of lying-in homes to prevent them being used by abortionists, it appeared that they felt powerless to stop the sale and/or use of preventives and to halt or reverse the changes that had and were occurring in women’s lives. Several witnesses to the Commission considered that one of the main reasons that women did not want many children was because of their love of ‘pleasure’. Women of all classes wanted a life outside the domestic sphere and did not want to be burdened with a large family. Witness E thought that ‘The practice of preventing conception is followed because of the desire of women to have social pleasures’ (NSW 1904b: 180), while Dr Creed, a Sydney General Practitioner, and Dr Harris, a Newcastle General Practitioner, both said that women did not want to be ‘bothered with children’ (NSW 1904b: 124, 138). The Reverend Howell Price thought that the reason women wanted to limit the size of their family was that ‘the children tied them too much to the home and they did not wish to become slaves...they want to be free, free from home ties and home duties as far as possible...they desire to have more leisure, apparently for the pursuit of their own pleasure’ (NSW 1904b: 214–5).

Although he did not express it in this way, the Reverend Howell Price thought that women’s aversion to having a large family was due to the decline of patriarchy. ‘This desire to prevent the birth of children can undoubtedly be taken as a particular instance of a general relaxation of control over women, which has become the general sentiment during the last 30 or 40 years....That relaxation of all control has led them into this particular desire to be free from restriction in that way too’ (NSW 1904b: 216).

Thus, in relation to structuration theory, we can conclude that in the latter part of the 19th century in Australia, social institutions moved away from the previously high levels of patriarchy that had applied in early years in Australia and away for the patriarchy that still remained prominent in Britain. Women’s agency at once was reinforced by these institutional changes but at the same time also furthered their progression in a duality between the macro and micro levels that is highly consistent with Giddens’ structuration theory. The evidence for the agency of women in the fertility transition is overwhelming.

The 1930-1970 period

In the early part of this period, cross-sectional fertility fell to replacement level before rising in the early years following the Second World War to create the post-war baby-boom (Figure 2). This was a period of sharply contrasting economic levels, the deep depression of the 1930s and the economic boom years of the 1950s and 1960s. The comparison of cross-sectional and cohort fertility in Figure 2 shows that much of the baby-boom was due to a ‘tempo’ effect.

The principal source of qualitative information on fertility decision-making in this period were interviews conducted in 1971 in the city of Melbourne where women were asked to recount their lives and the place of childbearing in their lives. Using this qualitative information, Hera Cook (2000) has argued that Australian women were much more likely to have used female-oriented methods of birth control than was the case in England. She argues that Australian women had greater sexual and reproductive autonomy than women in England throughout this period. We argue that, in the depression years, Australian women were able to control their fertility to a very high degree because
of the previous history of their control over reproduction. Explanations of the baby-boom era, however, create more challenges in regard to our focus upon the autonomy of women in fertility control. At this point, our view is that, as sexual initiation moved to younger and younger ages in the 1950s and 1960s, female autonomy fell away. Evidence of this is the very high proportion of brides who were pregnant at marriage and the prevalence of so-called ‘shot-gun’ marriages. This was also a period when a very large number of babies were given up for adoption.

**The period from 1970 onwards**

Fertility fell in the first five years of this period as women re-established control over their fertility (Figure 1) and age at first birth began a 25-year period of gradual but continuous increase. Cohort fertility has gradually fallen from around 2.5 births per woman to 2.0. This is the period in which women reasserted control over their own fertility. More reliable methods of contraception were available and available also to single women. Cohabitation before marriage emerged and, over the period, became the norm. The take-up of the contraceptive pill in Australia was early and fast. Abortion was legalised from 1969. With control over their own fertility, Australian women were very active in the era of second-wave feminism. This activism revolved heavily around demands for government and employer supports for the combination of work and family. Early achievements were government support for child care and the ability to negotiate with employers on work hours (McDonald 2014). Women had force both as voters and as workers in an economy short of skilled labour, and they were not slow to use their political power. Their efforts have prevented fertility falling to very low levels as it has one in many other advanced economies.
Figure 2. Total Fertility Rate by Year and Cohort Completed Fertility (plotted at Year = Year of Birth + 30), Australia, 1930 to 2012
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The main source of information for this period is the second volume report of the 1903 New South Wales Royal Commission into the Decline of the Birth Rate (New South Wales 1904). This volume contains the verbatim evidence provided by a wide variety of witnesses that appeared before the commission. Because the level of sales of manufactured contraceptives was relatively low in this period, researchers have concluded that the fertility decline in Australia in this time period was not associated with methods that were in the control of women but rather with the use of male-oriented methods such as withdrawal and abstinence. However, witnesses to the Royal Commission referred to the widespread use of home-made contraceptives (pessaries and sponges) and abortion especially among working class and lower middle class women. Midwives were reported to have played a major role in the spread of knowledge about contraception among the working and lower middle classes. Abortion was relatively common also among the working class (Finch and Stratton 1988, Cook 2000). Withdrawal was more common among upper middle class women. The Royal Commissioners had no doubt about the agency of women in the fertility decline that they were investigating. They and many of the conservative male witnesses to the Commission concluded that the fall in fertility was due to ‘the selfishness of women’ and to the spread of knowledge about contraception from one woman to the next. Women without doubt were the perpetrators of the ‘evil’ that had led to the decline in the birth rate in this new nation that was desperately in need of additional people.